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## Why do phonology?

- What isn't phonology?
- Why study phonology?
- Because it provides us with fascinating questions to answer and problems to solve
- Here: a few examples of questions/ problems from the field of universal tendencies in sound pattern, suggesting that phonological regularities/processes are governed by principles hard-wired into the human brain


## Universal:

- Frequently/always present in languages
- In both synchrony and diachrony
- In both adult language and child language


## QUESTIONS!!

## What isn't phonology?

## What isn't phonology?



## What isn't phonology?

Phanalagy is the study of telephane etiquette. A high school student

As reported in
Amsel Greene, Pullet Surprises. Glenview, III.: Scott, Foresman \& Co., 1969.
As cited in Fromkin \& Rodman \& Hyams (2011: 266)
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Articulatorily-induced

phonetics: physical properties of speech sounds:
> articulatory (speech production)
> acoustic
> auditory (speech perception)
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e.g., the articulatory classification of RP vowels:

- manner of articulation:
- monophthongs vs. diphthongs (vs. triphthongs)
- long vs. short


## e.g., the articulatory classification of RP

 vowels:- manner of articulation:
- monophthongs vs. diphthongs (vs. triphthongs)
- long vs. short
- place of articulation:
- tongue position (tongue height + frontness/backness)
- lip position


## the Cardinal Vowel Chart
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Where symbols appear in pairs, the one to the right represents a rounded vowel.
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Where symbols appear in pairs, the one to the right represents a rounded vowel.

# Can you find the vowels of Hungarian? 



## The monophthongs of RP
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## Phonologically: vowel triangle

- I, U, A - three basic vowels
- Similar ternary distinctions in English phonology?
- Reduced vowels, diphthongs (RP)
- Plus:

Hiatus: a sequence of two heterosyllabic vowels: $\mathrm{V}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$
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Hiatus: a sequence of two heterosyllabic vowels: $\mathrm{V}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ (within morphemes:
$-\mathrm{V}_{1}$ is tense (= Prevocalic Tenseness)

- $\mathrm{V}_{1}=/=$ schwa)
across morphemes: hiatus resolution by filling
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hiatus resolution by filling:

1. in most varieties of E : 2-way glide formation

- /-I/i:/: happy [j] again
- /-U/u:/: New [w] England

2. in non-rhotic E: 3-way glide formation

- /-ə/a:/o:/3:/: vanilla [r] ice

What is the connection between the quality of the vowels and the glide?
$\operatorname{link} m z 20$

## Try again! Two apples Four oranges

## Three apples Blue eyes Area office

Players move from square to square according to the following rule:
If the linking sound is /j/, turn left.
If the linking sound is $/ \mathrm{w} /$, go straight on.
If the linking sound is $/ \mathrm{r} /$, turn right.

It is very important to note that these directions are relative to the side that you enter the square from! So, for example, if you are heading 'east' and turn right, then you will be heading 'south'.


## Key

The correct route is as follows:
Hello everybody! - Draw a line - We saw a film - I agree - Where are you? Blue eyes - Go to England - Law and order - A few apples - Four and a half Give me a ring - Answer a question - True or false? - Tea or coffee? - We aren't ready Go ahead! - Score a goal (exit Q)

## Phonologically: vowel triangle



## What isn't phonology?

* letters/spelling
* pronunciation practice
※ phonetics
ex. 1
tune, toon
due, dew, do
adieu, ado
new, knew, gnu
[tu:n], [du:], [ə'du:], [nu:]


## Yod-dropping

- The dropping of the yod (/j/) of /ju:/ after certain consonants
- Dialectal variation after coronals
/t/ /d/ /n/
(/s/ /z/ II/ / $\mathrm{\theta} /$ )
( (/f/ /z/ /tf/ /dz/ /d/ /r/))
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Does this have anything to do with the fact that $/ j /$ is also coronal itself?
Is *tj- ill-formed in AmE/GA for the same reason that, say, *tl- is ill-formed?

## Yod-dropping

Dialectal variation after coronals
/t/ /d/ /n/ (/s/ /z/ /I/ /日/) ((/J//3/ /tf/ /dz/ /ð/ /r/))

$$
+/ \mathrm{j} /
$$

Does this have anything to do with the fact that /j/ is also coronal itself?
Is *tj- ill-formed in AmE/GA for the same reason that, say, *tl- is ill-formed?
No homorganic initial consonant clusters

## Yod-dropping

## Dialectal variation after coronals



$$
+/ \mathrm{j} /
$$

Does this have anything to do with the fact that $/ j /$ is also coronal itself?
Is *tj- ill-formed in AmE/GA for the same reason that, say, *tl- is ill-formed?

But: venue vs avenue??

## Coronals <br> 

- Yod-dropping
- And...
ex. 2


## Coronals

## /t/ /d/ /n/ /s/ /z/ II/ /ө/ /d/ /j/ /z/ /tj/ /ds/ /r/ /j/

[Jaut]
[kraud]
[haum]
[vois]
[noiz]
[koIp]
[maind]
[bu:st]
[raink]
[limp]
[日^mb]
[h^nt]
[lend]
[IInk]
[bæŋg]

## Coronals

## ／t／／d／／n／／s／／z／／I／／日／／ठ／／f／／z／／tf／／dz／／r／／j／

［favt］
［VOIS］
［kravd］
＊［havm］
［nכIz］
［bu：st］
＊［日＾mb］
＊［raIŋk］
［h＾nt］
［lend］
［link］
＊［bæうg］

## Coronals

 /t/ /d/ /n/ /s/ /z/ II/ /日/ /d/ /j/ /z/ /tf/ /ds/ /r/ jj/
[Imp] *[日^mb]
[hnnt] [lend] [ITrk]
*[bæng]

# Coronals <br>  

- Yod-dropping
- /av/ can only be followed by coronal consonants (shout, crowd, south, town, etc.)
- /oi/ can only be followed by alveolars (exploit, void, voice, noise, coin, coil, moist, point)
- a long vowel is only possible before a consonant cluster if the cluster is made up of coronals (mind, boost, faint, etc.)
- nasal+voicedC\# is only possible if both are coronals (cf. humm\#ed)
phonotactics
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pterodactyl, knead, mnemonic, choir, wrath, gnome, rhythm, cube, wrapper, psyche, xenophobia, proportion, knife, Ptolemy, thyme, puritan, psalm, breakthrough, gnocchi, knitting, knob, gnarly, shivering, wholewheat, xerox, bureau, gnu, xylophone, schedule, knuckle, pseudonym, queen, psychic, thunder, wreck, Xanadu
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- a long vowel is only possible before a consonant cluster if the cluster is made up of coronals (mind, boost, faint, etc.)
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## Coronals /t/ /d/ /n/ /s/ /z/ II/ /ө/ /d/ /f/ /z/ /tf/ /ds/ /r/ /j/

- In other languages, e.g., (Standard) Spanish:

More than a dozen consonants in the inventory
Five consonants used in word-final position:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { III, /r/, /d/, /n/, and /s/ } \\
& \text { coronals }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Coronals /t/ /d/ /n/ /s/ /z/ II/ /ө/ /d/ IJ/ /z/ /tj/ /ds/ /r/ /j/

- In other languages
- In child language:

[tis] 'kiss'<br>[tav] 'cow'<br>[tin] 'clean'<br>[martl] 'Michael'<br>[daitər] 'diaper'<br>[pati] 'Papi'

(Fromkin \& Rodman \& Hyams 2011: 341)
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- Yod-dropping
- lav/ can only be followed by coronal consonants (shout, crowd, south, town, etc.)
- /oi/ can only be followed by alveolars (exploit, void, voice, noise, coin, coil, moist, point)
- a long vowel is only possible before a consonant cluster if the cluster is made up of coronals (mind, boost, faint, etc.)
- nasal+voicedC\# is only possible if both are coronals (cf. humm\#ed)
-     + regular consonantal suffixes (-s, -'s, -ed)
-     + universally, coronals are special: coronal vs noncoronal asymmetry


## Coronals 

- Yod-dropping
- /av/ can only be followed by coronal consonants (shout, crowd, south, town, etc.)
- /oi/ can only be followed by alveolars (exploit, void, voice, noise, coin, coil, moist, point)
- a long vowel is only possible before a consonant cluster if the cluster is made up of coronals (mind, boost, faint, etc.)
- nasal+voicedC\# is only possible if both are coronals (cf. humm\#ed)
-     + regular consonantal suffixes (-s, -'s, -ed)
-     + universally, coronals are special: coronal vs noncoronal asymmetry - why?
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## Asymmetries, e.g.:

- Coronal - non-coronal, etc. - markedness
- Strength/weakness hierarchy: certain sounds are closer to zero (= getting deleted) than others:
- Frequently deleted, or
- Frequently replaced by another that is frequently deleted, or
- Frequently replaced by another that is frequently replaced by a third one that is frequently deleted ...
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- e.g., $\mathrm{t}>\mathrm{s}>\mathrm{h}>$ zero
- t > s Liverpool English letter
- s > h dialects of Spanish estamos 'we are'
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- (Steps may be skipped: t > h Liverpool English but)


## Lenition scales

- e.g., $t>s>h>z e r o$
- $\mathbf{t}>\mathbf{s}$ Liver
- s > h diale

Holy crap!
I'm Batman!
e'

- h > zero s 'Enry 'Iggir Hungarian
- (Steps ma but)


## Lenition scales

- e.g., $t>s>h>z e r o$
- $\mathbf{t}>\mathbf{s}$ Liver
- $\mathbf{s}>\mathbf{h}$ diale

> Euli kxrap! aim bahman! $\quad$ 'e'

- $\mathbf{h}>$ zero s

- (Steps ma but)


## Lenition scales

- e.g., t > s > h > zero
- t > s Liverpool English letter
- $\mathbf{s}>\mathbf{h}$ dialects of Spanish estamos 'we are'
- h > zero standard E find 'im, non-standard E 'Enry 'Iggins, Romance e.g. Fr. hache 'h', Hungarian cseh 'Czech'
Why should this be so?
Sounds gradually decomposing?


## Lenition scales

- e.g., t > s > h > zero
voiceless voiceless voiceless
coronal coronal
stop


## Lenition scales

- e.g., $\mathrm{t}>\mathrm{s}>\mathrm{h}>$ zero voiceless voiceless voiceless coronal coronal stop


## Evidence for segment-internal structure: components = features!

## Lenition scales

- e.g., $\mathrm{t}>\mathrm{s}>\mathrm{h}>$ zero voiceless voiceless voiceless coronal coronal stop

Evidence for segment-internal structure: components = features!
What are these features? How many are there? Are they universal? How are they to be represented? ...
one possible model：binary features，e．g．：［ $\ddagger$ voiced］，［ $\ddagger$ nasal］， ［士aspirated］（or：［さspread glottis］），［ $\pm$ continuant］，［士sonorant］， ［ $\pm h i g h]$, ［ $\pm$ low］，etc．
（［＋high，－low］，［－high，＋low］，［－high，－low］，＊［＋high，＋low］）
redundant（predictable，non－distinctive）features
vs．
nonredundant，distinctive features：nasalisation of vowels，English vs． French
［voiced］：distinctive for English obstruents but redundant for sonorants
predictable $\boldsymbol{=}$ redundant $\boldsymbol{=}$ nondistinctive $\boldsymbol{=}$ nonphonemic
redundancy rules e．g．

## Feature Specifications (partial)

$$
\begin{array}{llll} 
& p & b & m \\
\text { consonantal } & + & + & + \\
\text { labial } & + & + & + \\
\text { voiced } & - & + & + \\
\text { nasal } & - & - & +
\end{array}
$$

## Nasal Assimilation


[+nasal]

## Kinder.chocolate

## Kindelo



Kinderchocolate rex

An alternative model
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Or: unary (monovalent/privative)
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## An alternative model

Binary features: +/-
Or: unary (monovalent/privative)
both divide sounds into two classes: [+nasal] vs. [-nasal] / [nasal] vs. zero
but: binary features imply that the classes should behave symmetrically
this is not true

## An alternative model

the classes do not behave symmetrically

## An alternative model

the classes do not behave symmetrically:

- markedness
- phonological activity


## An alternative model

the classes do not behave symmetrically:

- markedness
- phonological activity
these observations support a unary model


## An alternative model

the classes do not behave symmetrically:

- markedness
- phonological activity
these observations support a unary model
+ theoretical gain: a privative model of phonological oppositions is more constrained


## An example of unary models: Element Theory

## An example of unary models: Element Theory

Unary primes: elements

## An example of unary models: Element Theory

Unary primes: elements
like chemical elements or colours

## An example of unary models: Element Theory

Unary primes: elements
like chemical elements or colours: independent interpretation + compounds

## Elements for vowels

Element
A
I
U

Independent interpretation
a
i
u
(i) Some elements

$$
I=\left[\begin{array}{l}
- \text { ROUND } \\
-\frac{B A C K}{} \\
\hline+H I G H \\
-A T R \\
- \text { low }
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\mathrm{U}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { + BOUND } \\
+ \text { BACK } \\
+ \text { HIGH } \\
- \text { ATR } \\
- \text { low }
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{l}
-\mathrm{ROUND} \\
+\mathrm{BACK} \\
\frac{-\mathrm{HICH}}{} \\
-\mathrm{ATR} \\
+ \text { low }
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Elements for vowels



Compound
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { e } & {[\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{I}]} \\ \text { o } & {[\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{U}]} \\ \ddot{u} & {[\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{I}]}\end{array}$

## Recall: Lenition scales

- e.g., t > s > h > zero
voiceless voiceless voiceless
coronal coronal
stop

Lenition as segmental decomposition

## Features: one more example

## Features: one more example

- But first: metathesis: ex. 3


## Features: one more example

| Latin parabola | Spanish palabra 'word' |
| ---: | :--- |
| Latin miraculum | Spanish milagro 'miracle' |
| Latin periculum | Spanish peligro 'danger, peril' |
| asterisk | Asterix |
| bird | Old English bryd |
| horse | Old English hros |
| three | third, thirty and thirteen |

## Features: one more example

|  | Polish mleko | English milk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | teher 'burden' | terhet (acc.), terhed (poss.), terhek (pl.) 'burden' |
|  | Classical Arabic zawğ | Egyptian Arabic gōz 'husband' |
|  | Persian zanǧabil | Egyptian Arabic ganzabīl 'ginger' |
|  | Chaucer, Caxton, and overdale Bible ax 'ask' | Shakespeare and the King James Bible ask |
|  | Child language [deks] | desk |
|  | Child language [tark] | kite |

## Features: one more example



## Features: one more example

- Metathesis of features


## Features: one more example

- Metathesis of features
- Hungarian child language: [hómat] 'tomorrow', [temmak] 'yesterday' (Szigetvári p.c.)


## Metathesis of features

## Metathesis of features

h o: n a p nasal stop
coronal labial

## Metathesis of features

h o: n a p nasal stop coronal<->labial

## Metathesis of features

h o: n a p nasal stop
labial coronal


## Metathesis of features

h o: n a p t e g n a p nasal stop
labial coronal


## Metathesis of features

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\mathrm{h} & 0: & \mathrm{n} & \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{p} & \mathrm{t} \\
\text { nasal } & \text { stop } & \mathrm{g} & \mathrm{n} & \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{p} \\
& & \text { nasal } & \text { stop } \\
\text { labial coronal } & & \text { velar } & \text { labial } \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & & & & \\
& \text { m } & \text { t } & & &
\end{array}
$$

## Metathesis of features

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{h} & 0: & \mathrm{n} & \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{p} & \mathrm{t} & \mathrm{e} \\
\text { nasal } & \text { stop } & \mathrm{n} & \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{p} \\
& & & \text { nasal } & \text { stop } \\
\text { labial coronal } & & \text { velar } & \text { <-> } & \text { labial } \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & & & & \\
& \text { m } & \text { t } & & & &
\end{array}
$$

## Metathesis of features



## Metathesis of features



Evidence for segment-internal structure: components = features!
What are these features? How many are there? Are they universal? How are they to be represented? ...

So far:

## So far:

- Asymmetries, e.g., markedness (coronal vs. non-coronal)


## So far:

- Asymmetries, e.g., markedness (coronal vs. non-coronal)
- Strength/weakness (lenition, decomposition)


## So far:

- Asymmetries, e.g., markedness (coronal vs. non-coronal)
- Strength/weakness (lenition, decomposition)
- Features


## Further phonological "stunts"

## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent"


> The hair on a polar bear isn't white,
> it's transparent

## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony


## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
e.g.: Chumash consonant harmony:
(1) Sibilant harmony in Ineseño Chumash
/ha-s-xintila-waš/
cf. /ha-s-xintila/ /s-iš-sili-uluaqpey=us/
cf. /p-iš-al-nan?/
[hašxintilawaš]
[hasxintila]
[sis ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ uleqpeyus]
[pišanan?]
'his former gentile' 'his gentile'
'they two want to follow it' 'don't you two go'
(McCarthy 2007:2)


## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
e.g.: Chumash consonant harmony
e.g.: consonant harmony in child language: almost universal! (cup $\rightarrow \mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{k}$, dog $\rightarrow \mathrm{g} \circ \mathrm{g}$, coat $\rightarrow$ ko:k, butter $\rightarrow$ b^bə)
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e.g.: Chumash consonant harmony
e.g.: consonant harmony in child language: almost universal! (cup $\rightarrow \mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{k}$, dog $\rightarrow$ gog, coat $\rightarrow$ ko:k, butter $\rightarrow$ b^bə)
e.g.: consonant dissimilation: modal, rural, feudal but lunar, muscular


## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
e.g.: Chumash consonant harmony
e.g.: consonant harmony in child language: almost universal! (cup $\rightarrow \mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{k}$, dog $\rightarrow$ gog, coat $\rightarrow$ ko:k, butter $\rightarrow$ b^bə)
e.g.: consonant dissimilation: modal, rural, feudal but lunar, muscular
e.g.: Hungarian vowel harmony


## Hungarian vowel harmony (ex.4)

-val
-vel

## Hungarian vowel harmony

-val
-vel
Gábor
Márta
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Béla
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Krisztián

## Hungarian vowel harmony

-val
Gábor
Márta
Balázs
Béla
Daniella
Krisztián
-vel
Eszter
Csenge
Dénes
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Dzsenifer

## Hungarian vowel harmony

-val
Gábor
Márta
Balázs
Béla
Daniella
Krisztián
Dávid
Dzsasztin
-vel
Eszter
Csenge
Dénes
Lili
Illés
Dzsenifer

## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony

How do we deal with long-distance relations and segment transparency?

## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "trans


## LONG DISTANCE RELATIONSHIP

How dc



What the society thinks we do


What I think he does


What we really do
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- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
- Certain sound segments can "remember" where they (historically/morphologically) come from (opacity)
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## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
- Certain sound segments can "remember" where they (historically/morphologically) come from (opacity)
e.g., AmE/GA ra:irə Canadian E. rairə cf. ride
vS.
raIrə
vs.
rəIrə cf. write


## The interaction of tapping/flapping and Pre-Fortis Clipping

$\frac{\underset{\text { phoneme }}{\frac{\text { Vowel }}{\text { phone }}}}{\text { /i:// }}$

Fully long

[i:] be, been, easy, bead, siege, feel
[a:v] now, town, round, house (v), loud
[i] beat, week, piece, beat, teach [au] out, mouse, counting, house (n)

T-Voicing and T/D-tapping/flapping
$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{t} \rightarrow \mathrm{d} & \rightarrow \mathrm{r} \\ \mathrm{d} & \rightarrow \mathrm{r}\end{aligned}$
writing vs. riding?
e.g. matter, butterfly, nobody, little
but militate*
right awáy, not a jóke, get úp

from Fox, Robert A. and Dale Terbeek (1977) Dental flaps, vowel duration and rule rdering in American English. Journal of Phonetics 5: 27-34.)
rule interaction $=$

## rule ordering

## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
- Certain sound segments can "remember" where they (historically/morphologically) come from (opacity)
e.g., AmE/GA / Canadian E.
e.g., Hungarian voicing assimilation
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## Hungarian voicing assimilation

- No (obstruent) consonant sequences where the members differ in voicing
- The last consonant of the sequence determines the voicing feature of the whole ("regressive assimilation")
e.g., zsebkendő 'hanky' /-pk-l, hasbeszélő
'ventriloquist' /-3b-/



## Hungarian voicing assimilation

- No (obstruent) consonant sequences where the members differ in voicing
- The last consonant of the sequence determines the voicing feature of the whole ("regressive assimilation")
e.g., zsebkendő 'hanky' l-pk-l, hasbeszélő 'ventriloquist' /-3b-/, but:
azt gondolom... 'I think...' /-sg-/
azt jelenti... 'it means...' /-sj-/


## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
- Certain sound segments can "remember" where they (historically/morphologically) come from (opacity)
e.g., AmE/GA / Canadian E.
e.g., Hungarian voicing assimilation

How do we explain cases of opacity?
rule interaction $=$

## rule ordering

bean vs. beer

bean vs. beer
What are the two differences in pronunciation?
bean vs. beer
What are the two differences in pronunciation?

What are the two rules producing the differences?
bean vs. beer
What are the two differences in pronunciation?

What are the two rules producing the differences?

How do the two rules interact?
rule interaction $=$

## rule ordering

## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
- Certain sound segments can "remember" where they (historically/morphologically) come from (opacity)
- Speakers of certain languages can pronounce consonant-final words


## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
- Certain sound segments can "remember" where they (historically/morphologically) come from (opacity)
- Speakers of certain languages can pronounce consonant-final words
vs. languages like Italian/Japanese, child language (back $\rightarrow$ ba:, boat $\rightarrow$ bo:, down $\rightarrow$ da:nə)


## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmonv
- Certain sound segm where they (historica from (opacity)
- Speakers of certain consonant-final wor
vs. languages like Italiq language (back $\rightarrow$ ba:, $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Megositom veletek a receptem } \\ & \text { Egész éjel eztet kerestem }\end{aligned} \rightarrow$ da:nə), Kovbojok


## English with an Italian accent

Comes the morning
When I can feel
That there's nothing
Left to be concealed
Moving on
a scene surreal
Know my heart will never
Never be far from here

Sure as I'm breathing
Sure as I'm sad
I'll keep this wisdom
In my flesh
I leave here believing
More than I had

And there's a reason l'll be Reason I'll be back

Francesco (20, Manfredonia) reading partial lyrics of Eddie Vedder's No Ceiling
Source: Bálint Huszthy

## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
- Certain sound segments can "remember" where they (historically/morphologically) come from (opacity)
- Speakers of certain languages can pronounce consonant-final words


## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
- Certain sound segments can "remember" where they (historically/morphologically) come from (opacity)
- Speakers of certain languages can pronounce consonant-final words (phonotactics!)
How do we explain the special status of wordfinal consonants? How do we explain the different repair strategies?


## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
- Certain sound segments can "remember" where they (historically/morphologically) come from (opacity)
- Speakers of certain languages can pronounce consonant-final words
- Speakers of certain languages can pronounce sequences of consonants word-initially
ex. 5


## Initial sC sequences

| [Pistadi] | [iskul] | [istefən] | asztal | [patula] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| estudiar | escuela | station | stol | spatula |
| étudier | école |  |  |  |
| study | estación |  |  |  |
|  | iskola <br> schola <br> school |  |  |  |



## Initial sC sequences

How the Spanish pronounce English words http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dn8CkmqLTdg

$e$-insertion

plus Andalusian s-to-h plus word-final consonants
(e.g., Steven Spielberg)

## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
- Certain sound segments can "remember" where they (historically/morphologically) come from (opacity)
- Speakers of certain languages can pronounce consonant-final words
- Speakers of certain languages can pronounce sequences of consonants word-initially

How do we explain the special status of word-initial consonant sequences in general? How do we explain the even more special status of certain word-initial consonant sequences? How do we explain the different repair strategies?

## Further phonological "stunts"

- Certain sound segments can be "invisible", "transparent": "long-distance" relations of consonant/vowel harmony
- Certain sound segments can "remember" where they (historically/morphologically) come from (opacity)
- Speakers of certain languages can pronounce consonant-final words
- Speakers of certain languages can pronounce sequences of consonants word-initially, etc.


## Why do phonology?
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- Because it provides us with fascinating questions to answer and problems to solve


## Why do phonology?

- Because it provides us with fascinating questions to answer and problems to solve
- Here: a few questions/problems from the field of universal tendencies in sound pattern (typologically different/genetically unrelated languages, synchrony/diachrony, child language, etc.), suggesting that phonological regularities/processes are governed by principles hard-wired into the human brain
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- Why should things be this way??


## Why do phonology?

- Because it provides us with fascinating questions to answer and problems to solve
- Here: a few questions/problems from the field of universal tendencies in sound pattern (typologically different/genetically unrelated languages, synchrony/diachrony, child language, etc.)
- Why should things be this way??
- And there are quite a few more questions out there for YOU to answer! :-)


## Nerds

## like us <br> are allowed

 to beunironically enthusiastic ${ }_{\text {aboutstuff }}$
Nerd are allowed
Ner to lovestuff-like, jump-up-and-down-in-your-chair-can't-control-yourself love it. When people call people nerds, mostly what 66 OU NOT $99^{\text {they're saying is }} \begin{aligned} & \text { which is not a good } \\ & \text { insult at all. }\end{aligned}$ Like,
"rou are too enthusiastic about the miracle of human
consciousness."

- John Green


